

# Impact of Assimilating GOES-R GLM Flash Extent Density in NSSL's Warn-on-Forecast System for Severe Weather Forecast



Yaping Wang<sup>1,2</sup>, Nusrat Yussouf<sup>1,2</sup>, Edward Mansell<sup>2</sup>, Brian Matilla<sup>1,2</sup>, Rong Kong<sup>3</sup>, Ming Xue<sup>3</sup>

and Alexandre O. Fierro<sup>1,2</sup> <sup>1</sup>Cooperative Institute for Mesoscale Meteorological Studies, University of Oklahoma <sup>2</sup>NOAA/OAR/National Severe Storms Laboratory, Norman, OK <sup>3</sup>Center for Analysis and Prediction of Storms, University of Oklahoma, Norman, OK

# Introduction

#### The GOES-R GLM lightning data:

- Total lightning rate with high temporal and spatial (8-12 km) resolution.
- A good indicator of deep electrified convection, and well correlated with graupel and ice content, updraft intensity, and rainfall amount in storm cells.
- Complement to ground-based networks.

### The NSSL Warn-on-Forecast System (WoFS) :

- Reginal, storm scale, on-demand, rapidly-updated ensemble data assimilation (DA) and prediction system.
- GSI-EnKF; WRF model V3.8
- 15 min updates; 36 members; 250 x 250 or 300 x 300 grid, dx = 3 km
- Initial and boundary conditions: GSD's experimental HRRRE
- Microphysics: NSSL two-moment scheme; Boundary layer: MYNN, YSU, MYJ
- Assimilated observations: NCEP prebufr, Mesonet, satellite cloud water path, radar data

# <u>Methods</u>

- 1-min accumulated GLM-derived flash extent density (FED) (including zero value): number of flashes passing through a grid box about 10 x 10 km<sup>2</sup>, processed using *glmtools* package (Bruning, 2019)
- DA cycles at **15-min** intervals
- Observation operator (Allen et al., 2019; Kong et al., 2020):

FEDM =  $0.5 \times 2.088 \times 10^{-8}$  (GM).

GM: vertically integrated graupel mass in a 15×15 km<sup>2</sup> box

- **observation error**: 0.5 flash min<sup>-1</sup> pixel<sup>-1</sup>; FED height: 6.5 km
- Additive noise: add random perturbation in and near the regions where FED > 1 min<sup>-1</sup> pixel<sup>-1</sup>
- 3-hr forecasts

Experiment	Data being assimilated
CTRL	NCEP prebufr, Mesonet, satellite cloud water path
GLM	same as "CTRL", but additional GLM FED
RAD	same as "CTRL", but additional radar data
RAD+GLM	same as "RAD", but additional GLM FED



# **Results** Case 1 May 2018 supercell

Analyzed PMM composite reflectivity at 2300 UTC



- > With or without radar data, assimilating GLM data better captures the features of individual supercells.
- > Assimilating GLM data better predicts the storm tracks.
- > Radar data improves the analyses and forecasts more significantly.







- Assimilating GLM FED observations with/without radar data into the WoFS has the potential to improve the short-term forecast skills by better capturing the features of individual supercells, as well as better predicting storm tracks.
- The MCS with broad trailing stratiform region has low flash rate. Assimilating zero or low GLM flash rate in the absence of radar data might degrade the forecast skills of reflectivity field.
- Future work: adjust the observation operator to better adapt to different storm types.

We acknowledge Prof. Eric Bruning for his assistance in using the *glmtools* package!

## **Backup slides for questions...**



### Case 20 May 2019 MCS

(b) CTRL (a) OBS (c) GLM\_1min RAD RAD+GLM 0.25 0.5 2 10 12 16 20 24 8 4

Analyzed FED at 0000 UTC